

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<b>GUARDIAN INDUSTRIES CORP.,</b>	)
	)
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)
	)
<b>v.</b>	) C.A. No.: <b>05-27-SLR</b>
	)
<b>DELL, INC.; GATEWAY, INC.;</b>	) Jury Trial
<b>HEWLETT-PACKARD CO.; ACER INC.;</b>	) Demanded
<b>ACER AMERICA CORP.; AOC INTERNATIONAL;</b>	)
<b>ENVISION PERIPHERALS, INC.;</b>	)
<b>TPV TECHNOLOGY, LTD.;</b>	)
<b>TPV INTERNATIONAL (USA), INC.;</b>	)
<b>CHUNGHWA PICTURES TUBES, LTD. a/k/a</b>	)
<b>CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES CO.;</b>	)
<b>TATUNG CO.; TATUNG COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.;</b>	)
<b>COMPAL ELECTRONICS, INC.; JEAN CO., LTD.;</b>	)
<b>LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CORP.;</b>	)
<b>LITE-ON, INC. a/k/a LITEON TRADING USA, INC.;</b>	)
<b>MAG TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.;</b>	)
<b>MAG TECHNOLOGY USA, INC.;</b>	)
<b>PROVIEW INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LTD.;</b>	)
<b>PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.; and</b>	)
<b>PROVIEW ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,</b>	)
	)
<b>Defendants.</b>	)
	)

---

**GUARDIAN'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE A SUR-REPLY TO GUARDIAN'S MOTION TO LIFT THE STAY**

Plaintiff Guardian respectfully requests that the Court deny Defendants' motion to file a sur-reply to Guardian's motion to lift the stay, and grant Guardian's motion to lift the stay. Contrary to Defendants' assertion, Guardian did not advance any "new argument" in its reply brief that would warrant a sur-reply from Defendants.

Guardian's position has always been that the presence of additional, unlicensed suppliers of LCD modules necessitates discovery from all Defendants, particularly since

the OEM and reseller defendants are the direct infringers. *See* D.I. 162, Guardian's Consolidated Opposition to the Defendants' Motions to Stay, filed July 22, 2005. The OEM and reseller defendants argued that a two-stage discovery process, where discovery from the LCD module manufacturers would take place before discovery of the OEMs and resellers, would be the most efficient. The Court agreed with Defendants' proposed discovery staging, in part to allow time to see if Guardian and CPT would reach a settlement. The Court thus allowed Guardian only limited discovery from the OEMs and resellers regarding the flow of monitors containing CPT modules into the United States, then stayed the case against the OEM and reseller defendants pending Guardian's mediation with CPT. With an agreement in principle reached between Guardian and CPT (the last defendant module manufacturer), "stage 1" discovery of CPT is no longer needed, and it is time to move to "stage 2," discovery from the OEM and reseller defendants.

The arguments raised in Guardian's reply brief are the same as those raised by it during the initial stay briefing, modified only in light of the two-stage discovery process put in place at Defendants' request. Thus, there is no basis for Defendants' sur-reply, and Guardian respectfully requests that the Court deny Defendants' Motion to File a Sur-Reply and grant Guardian's Motion to Lift the Stay. The notion that the remaining defendants should be dismissed, without any opportunity for Guardian to take discovery regarding further unlicensed modules, has no basis in law.

Dated: March 10, 2006

/s/ Richard K. Herrmann

Richard K. Herrmann (I.D. No. 405)  
Mary Matterer (I.D. No. 2696)  
MORRIS JAMES HITCHENS & WILLIAMS  
222 Delaware Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
302.888.6800  
rherrmann@morrisjames.com

Bryan S. Hales  
Craig D. Leavell  
Meredith Zinanni  
Eric D. Hayes  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
200 East Randolph Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
(312) 861-2000

*Counsel for Plaintiff Guardian Industries Corp.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing document, **GUARDIAN'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-REPLY TO GUARDIAN'S MOTION TO LIFT THE STAY**, with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Richard L. Horwitz, Esq.  
 David E. Moore, Esq.  
 Potter Anderson & Corroon  
 Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 1313 N. Market Street  
 Wilmington, DE 19801

Robert W. Whetzel, Esq.  
 Matthew W. King, Esq.  
 Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.  
 One Rodney Square  
 Wilmington, DE 19801

Josy W. Ingersoll, Esq.  
 Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor LLP  
 The Brandywine Building  
 1000 West Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Wilmington, DE 19801

William Marsden, Esq.  
 Sean P. Hayes, Esq.  
 Fish & Richardson  
 919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100  
 Wilmington, DE 19801

Additionally, I hereby certify that on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006, the foregoing document was served via email on the following non-registered participants:

Daniel T. Shvodian, Esq.  
 Teresa M. Corbin, Esq.  
 Wallace Wu, Esq.  
 Howrey LLP  
 301 Ravenswood Avenue  
 Menlo Park, CA 94025-3434  
[shvodiand@howrey.com](mailto:shvodiand@howrey.com)  
[corbint@howrey.com](mailto:corbint@howrey.com)  
[wuw@howrey.com](mailto:wuw@howrey.com)

Roderick B. Williams, Esq.  
 Avelyn M. Ross, Esq.  
 Vinson & Elkins  
 2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100  
 Austin, TX 78746-7568  
[rickwilliams@velaw.com](mailto:rickwilliams@velaw.com)  
[arross@velaw.com](mailto:arross@velaw.com)

York M. Faulkner, Esq.  
 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner  
 Two Freedom Square  
 11955 Freedom Drive  
 Reston, VA 20190-5675  
[york.faulkner@finnegan.com](mailto:york.faulkner@finnegan.com)

E. Robert Yoches, Esq.  
 Laura P. Masurovsky, Esq.  
 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner  
 901 New York Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20001  
[bob.yoches@finnegan.com](mailto:bob.yoches@finnegan.com)  
[laura.masurovsky@finnegan.com](mailto:laura.masurovsky@finnegan.com)

Michael Bettinger, Esq.  
Preston Gates & Ellis LLP  
55 Second Street, Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3493  
[mikeb@prestongates.com](mailto:mikeb@prestongates.com)

Jeffrey K. Sherwood, Esq.  
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld  
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
[jsherwood@akingump.com](mailto:jsherwood@akingump.com)

Eric Wesenberg, Esq.  
Kai Tseng, Esq.  
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
[ewesenberg@orrick.com](mailto:ewesenberg@orrick.com)  
[ktseng@orrick.com](mailto:ktseng@orrick.com)

Peter J. Wied, Esq.  
Terry D. Garnett, Esq.  
Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP  
515 S. Flower Street, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
[peterwied@paulhastings.com](mailto:peterwied@paulhastings.com)  
[terrygarnett@paulhastings.com](mailto:terrygarnett@paulhastings.com)

Kevin R. Hamel, Esq.  
Aaron Ettelman, Esq.  
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld  
One Commerce Square  
2005 Market Street, Suite 2200  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
[khamel@akingump.com](mailto:khamel@akingump.com)  
[aettelman@akingump.com](mailto:aettelman@akingump.com)

Robert J. Gunther, Jr., Esq.  
Kurt M. Rogers  
Latham & Watkins  
885 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
[robert.gunther@lw.com](mailto:robert.gunther@lw.com)  
[kurt.rogers@lw.com](mailto:kurt.rogers@lw.com)

*/s/ Richard K. Herrmann*  
Richard K. Herrmann (I.D. No. 405)  
Mary B. Matterer (I.D. No. 2696)  
MORRIS, JAMES, HITCHENS & WILLIAMS  
222 Delaware Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
302.888.6800  
[rherrmann@morrisjames.com](mailto:rherrmann@morrisjames.com)  
[mmatterer@morrisjames.com](mailto:mmatterer@morrisjames.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiff Guardian Industries Corp.*